]]				
1	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General			
2	of the State of California MICHAEL A. SHEKEY, State Bar No. 143436			
3	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice			
4	300 South Spring Street, Suite 500 Los Angeles, California 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2520			
5	Attorneys for Complainant			
6	Accorneys for company			
7				
8	BEFORE TH BOARD OF ACCOU	·		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUSTATE OF CALIF	JMER AFFAIRS		
10				
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	No. AC-94-11		
12	DAVID HOWARD LEVI) STIPULATION IN SETTLEMENT		
13	315 South Beverly Drive	OF ACCUSATION AND ORDER		
14	Suite 406 Beverly Hills, California 90212))		
15	Certificate No. 61127,			
16	Respondent.)		
17				
18	Respondent, David Howard L	evi, and the California Board		
1.9		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
20	its counsel, Deputy Attorney General	Michael A. Shekey, do hereby		
21	enter into the following stipulation			
22	1. Respondent David Howa	ard Levi (hereinafter referred		
23	to as "Respondent") hereby acknowled	dges receipt of First Amended		
24	Accusation No. AC-94-11 (attached he	ereto as Exhibit "A"), as well		

as a previously served Statement to Respondent, Request for

Discovery, excerpts of California Government Code, sections

11507.5, 11507.6 and 11507.7, and a Notice of Defense.

25

26

5

11

10

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

- On or about August 1, 1997, First Amended 2. Accusation No. AC-94-11, was served on Respondent on behalf of Carol B. Sigmann, Executive Officer of the Board.
- Respondent has fully considered the charges and allegations contained within First Amended Accusation No. AC-94-11 on file with the Board, and Respondent has been fully advised with regard to his rights in this matter.
- Respondent is fully aware of the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations contained within said First Amended Accusation No. AC-94-11, his right to reconsideration, appeal, and all other rights which may be accorded to him pursuant to the California Administrative Procedure Act and the laws of the State of California.
- Respondent hereby freely and voluntarily waives his right to a hearing, reconsideration, appeal, and any and all other rights which may be accorded to him by the California Administrative Procedure Act and the laws of the State of California with regard to First Amended Accusation No. AC-94-11.
- Respondent has been and is being represented by 6. himself, in propria persona. The Board has been and is represented by Daniel E. Lungren, Attorney General, through Michael A. Shekey, Deputy Attorney General.
- The parties hereto agree that the Stipulation recited herein shall be null and void and not binding upon the parties unless and until approved by the Board.
- This Stipulation is made for the purpose of settling Accusation No. AC-94-11. It is only for the purpose of

this proceeding and any other subsequent proceeding between the Board and Respondent, or any action taken by or before any governmental body responsible for licensing accountants.

9. While, for the purpose of settlement, Respondent neither admits nor denies the allegations contained within Accusation No. AC-94-11, Respondent understands that the charges and allegations, if proven at an administrative trial, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon Respondent's license, including revocation.

10. Based on the admissions and waivers set forth in this Stipulation, Respondent agrees that the Board of Accountancy may issue the following Order:

ORDER

Accountancy Certificate No. 61127, previously issued to Respondent David Howard Levi is hereby revoked. Said revocation will become effective no sooner than thirty (30) days after the Board's consideration of this matter.

Respondent fully understands and agrees that, in acting upon any application for licensure, relicensure or reinstatement which Respondent ever files in the State of California or in any other State, the licensing entity shall deem all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. AC-94-11 to be true. Further, Respondent will first reimburse the Board for all investigation and prosecution costs accrued in this matter, as of January 16, 1998. In the interim period, the Board will temporarily waive all such costs.

27 1///

1.8

SUPPLESION OF STIPULATION

1 I have read and reviewed the terms and conditions of 2 the Stipulation and Order set forth hereinabove. I understand 3 that this is an offer in settlement made to the Board, and will not be effective unless and until the Board formally adopts said 5 Stipulation as its Decision in this matter. I expressly б acknowledge that if adopted, my Certificate No. 61127 will be 7 revoked. Said revocation will become effective no sooner than 8 thirty (30) days after the Board's consideration of this matter. 9 I also acknowledge that should I seek re-licensure from the Board 10 as a Certified Public Accountant in the future, I will first 11 reimburse the Board for all investigation and prosecution costs 12 accrued as of January 16, 1998, and I understand that the 13 allegations contained within Accusation No. AC-94-11 will be 1.4 deemed by the Board as true. I voluntarily enter into the 15 instant Stipulation and agree to be bound by the terms and 16 conditions of the disciplinary Order herein. I also agree that 17 my signature to this Stipulation by facsimile transmission will 1.8 not render the Stipulation invalid. 19

20 DATED: 120 GR

DAVID HOWARD LEVI Respondent

22 23

24

25

26

27

4.

JAN-15-1998 10:56

213 697 2884

97%

P.05

SUBMISSION

The foregoing is submitted to the California Board of Accountancy for consideration and adoption as its Decision in Accusation AC-94-11. In the event that the Board rejects the proposed Stipulation in this matter, the admissions of facts and characterizations of law set forth hereinabove shall be null, void and inadmissible in any proceeding involving the parties to it, and a hearing in this matter shall be scheduled forthwith.

DATED: <u>PEDRUARY</u> 6, 1948

DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General

MICHAEL A. SHEKEY

Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainant

ADOPTION AND DECISION

2	The Stipulation in Settlement of Accusation and Order
3	in this matter is formally adopted by the California Board of
4	Accountancy as the Decision in the matter of the Accusation
5	AC-94-11 against David Howard Levi, on this <u>lst</u> day of
	Mpril, 1998, and shall become effective on the <u>lst</u>
7	day of <u>May</u> , 1998.

CALIFORNIA BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY

1.

MAS:lp 27 LEVI.STP

```
DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General
1
    of the State of California
  MICHAEL A. SHEKEY, State Bar No. 143436
     Deputy Attorney General
   Department of Justice
   300 South Spring Street, Suite 500
   Los Angeles, California 90013
4
   Telephone: (213) 897-2520
5
   Attorneys for Complainant
6
7
                               BEFORE THE
8
                         BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY
                    DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
 9
                           STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10
    In the Matter of the Accusation
                                                 AC-94-11
                                            No.
11
    Against:
12
                                            FIRST AMENDED
      DAVID HOWARD LEVI
      8730 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 304
                                            ACCUSATION
13.
      Beverly Hills, California 90211
14
      Certified Public Accountant
        Certificate No. 61127,
15
                       Respondent.
16
 17
               Complainant, Carol B. Sigmann, as cause for discipline
 18
     alleges:
 19
                    Complainant, Carol B. Sigmann (hereinafter
               1.
 20
     referred to as the "Complainant") is the Executive Officer of the
 21
     California State Board of Accountancy (hereinafter referred to as
 22
     the "Board") of the Department of Consumer Affairs of the State
     of California, and makes and files this First Amended Accusation
 24
     solely in her official capacity.
  25
  26
      / / /
     ///
  27
```

LICENSE STATUS

2. On or about January 31, 1992, the Board issued to David Howard Levi (hereinafter referred to as the "Respondent")
Certificate No. 61127 for the practice of public accountancy.

STATUTES

- 3. This First Amended Accusation is made in reference to the following statutes of the California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter referred to as the "Code") and Title 16 of the California Code of Regulations (hereinafter referred to as "CCR"):
 - a. Section 118(b) of the Code, provides, in pertinent part, that the suspension or expiration of a certificate does not deprive the board of authority or jurisdiction to institute or continue a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or to order suspension or revocation of the license, during the period in which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
 - b. Pursuant to Section 490 of the Code, the board may suspend or revoke a license on the ground that the licensee has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the business or profession for which the license was issued.
 - c. Section 490 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a conviction within the meaning of this section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere.

1 / / /

- d. Pursuant to Section 5100 of the Code, the board may revoke, suspend or refuse to renew the permit or certificate of a certified public accountant, or may censure the holder of a permit or certificate for unprofessional conduct.
- e. Section 5100(a) of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that unprofessional conduct includes conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a certified public accountant.
- f. Section 5100(h) of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that unprofessional conduct includes fiscal dishonesty or breach of fiduciary responsibility of any kind, including, but not limited to embezzlement, theft, misappropriation of funds or property, or obtaining money, property or other valuable consideration by fraudulent means or false pretenses.
 - g. Section 5051 of the Code provides that the practice of public accountancy is the use of the knowledge, science and practice of accounting; the delivery for compensation of professional services that involve or require an audit, examination, verification, investigation, certification, presentation, or review, of financial transactions and accounting records; the preparation or certification of client reports on audits or examinations of books or records of account, balance sheets, and other financial, accounting and related schedules, exhibits, statements, or reports which are to be used for publication

or for the purpose of obtaining credit or for filing with a court of law or with a governmental agency, or for any other purpose; the delivery of professional services that relate to accounting and the recording, presentation, or certification of financial information or data; the maintenance of client books, trial balances, statements, audits, reports, or bookkeeping; the preparation of client tax returns; the preparation of personal financial or investment plans or the products or services of others that implement personal financial or investment plans; and the delivery of client management consulting services.

- h. Section 5051 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a person is engaged in the practice of public accountancy if he or she holds himself or herself out, solicits, or advertises for clients using the certified public accountant or public accountant designation, or identifies himself or herself as a certified public accountant or public accountant on things such as signs, advertisements, letterheads, business cards, publications directed to clients or potential clients, or financial or tax documents of a client.
 - i. Section 5106 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of Article 6 of the Accountancy Act, and the record of the conviction shall be conclusive evidence of the conviction.

k. Title 16, California Code of Regulations, section 99, provides, in pertinent part, that a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a certified public accountant if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a certified public accountant to perform the functions authorized by his certificate in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or welfare.

1. Title 16, California Code of Regulations, section 99, further provides, in pertinent part, that a substantially related crime or act includes <u>inter alia</u> fiscal dishonesty or breach of fiduciary responsibility of any kind, and dishonesty, fraud, or gross negligence in the practice of public accountancy or in the performance of certain bookkeeping operations.

26 / / /

27 / / /

4. Respondent is subject to discipline by the Board pursuant to Business and Professions Code, sections 5100(a) and (h), and 490, by reason of the following facts:

- a. On or about May 11, 1992, in the 363rd Judicial District Court of Dallas County, Texas, in the criminal action entitled <u>The State of Texas</u> v. <u>David Howard Levi</u>, case number F91-03418-NW, Respondent entered a plea of guilty to the felony crime of theft of property of the value of \$750 or more, but less than \$20,000. U
- b. The circumstances of the conviction in paragraph "a." herein above are that on or about January 7, 1988, Respondent unlawfully, knowingly and intentionally misappropriated a check in the amount of \$19,000 from the lawful possession of K.G.R.², a minor whose father was killed in an automobile accident, and for whom Respondent was trustee, and used the money to replace \$20,000 misappropriate earlier from his client trust account for WST Enterprises, Inc., which he had used to pay his accounting

^{1.} A true and correct copy of Respondent's guilty plea is attached hereto as Exhibit "A". For purposes of the Plea Bargain Agreement (attached hereto as Exhibit "B") entered into in the State of Texas, the conviction referred to in the agreement is categorized as "Non-conviction Deferred." A true and correct copy of the subject Order is attached hereto as Exhibit "B". Despite the Texas court's categorization of Respondent's plea, the California State Board of Accountancy submits that Respondent's plea of "guilty" constitutes a conviction under Code sections 490 and 5100(a). Additionally, Respondent ultimately surrendered his certificate to practice accountancy in the State of Texas on November 27, 1991. On January 16, 1992, the Texas State Board of Public Accountancy accepted Respondent's surrender in lieu of disciplinary action.

^{2.} The identity of K.G.R. will be made known to Respondent following a request for discovery.

firm's payroll taxes, with the intent to permanently deprive K.G.R. of the money and for so long a time that a major portion of the value of the money was lost to K.G.R.

- c. On or about May 11, 1992, in the 363rd Judicial District Court of Dallas County, Texas, in the criminal action entitled <u>The State of Texas</u> v. <u>David Howard Levi</u>, case number F91-03419-NW, Respondent entered a plea of guilty to the felony crime of theft of property of the value of \$20,000 or more.³
- d. The circumstances of the conviction in paragraph "c." hereinabove are that on or about July 23, 1987, Respondent unlawfully, knowingly and intentionally misappropriated a check in the amount of \$7,800 from the lawful possession of B.M.4, acting on behalf of WST Enterprises, Inc., to pay fees owed to his business; and on September 17, 1987, Respondent unlawfully, knowingly and intentionally misappropriated a check in the amount of \$20,000 from the lawful possession of Bill Miller and/or WST

^{3.} A true and correct copy of Respondent's guilty plea is attached hereto as Exhibit "D". For purposes of the Plea Bargain Agreement (attached hereto as Exhibit "E") entered into in the State of Texas, the conviction referred to in the agreement is categorized as "Non-conviction Deferred." Also, true and correct copy of the subject Order is attached hereto as Exhibit "F". Despite the Texas court's categorization of Respondent's plea, the California State Board of Accountancy submits that Respondent's plea of "guilty" constitutes a conviction under Code section 490 and 5100(a). Additionally, Respondent ultimately surrendered his certificate to practice accountancy in the State of Texas on November 27, 1991. On January 16, 1992, the Texas State Board of Public Accountancy accepted Respondent's surrender in lieu of disciplinary action.

^{4.} The identity of B.M. will be made known to respondent following a request for discovery.

2_{,3}

! Enterprises, Inc., and used the money to pay his accounting firm's payroll taxes, with the intent to permanently deprive B.M. and/or WST Enterprises, Inc. of the money and for so long a time that a major portion of the value of the money was lost to B.M. and/or WST Enterprises, Inc.

- e. While acting as trustee of four (4) trusts established for the children of B.M. and N.M. 51, Respondent misappropriated without authorization \$28,000 from the trust accounts to himself.
- f. While providing accounting services to M&M Leasing Company Respondent misappropriated without authorization \$27,000 from the funds of the company to pay his firms accounting fees.
- g. While providing accounting services to WST Enterprises, Inc., Respondent borrowed money from Providence National Bank, Dallas, Texas, on behalf of M&M Leasing, and pledged assets belonging to Sports Ridge Athletic Club (an entity owned and operated by WST Enterprises, Inc.) as collateral, and used \$20,000 of the money for his personal house payment.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be held and that the Board make its order:

1. Revoking or suspending Certified Public Accountant Certificate No. 61127 heretofore issued to David Howard Levi;

^{5.} The identity of N.M. will be made known to Respondent following a request for discovery.

- 1	1						
1				2.	D	ire	(
2	Acc	count	anc	y i	ts	rea	. (
3	of	this	ma	atte	r;	and	Ĺ
4				3.		ľaki	
5	de	emed	app	prop	ria	ate.	,
6				DATE	ED:	٠ (,
7			•				/
8							
9	ļļ	. •					
10					٠		
11							
12				•			
13							
14	.						
15	5						
10	5						
1	7			•			
1	8						
1	9						
2	0						
2	1						
2	22						
2	23			٠			
2	24						
	25						
	26						

2. Directing David Howard Levi to pay to the Board of Accountancy its reasonable cost of investigation and prosecution of this matter; and

3. Taking such other and further action as may be

DATED: July 25 1997

CAROL B. SIGMANN

Executive Officer

Board of Accountancy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

C:\WP\SHEKEY\LEVIAMD.ACC 03541110-LA94AD0519-

DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California			
ROBERT A. HERON, Deputy Attorney General			
California Department of Justice 300 South Spring Street, Suite 500			
Los Angeles, California 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2561			
Attorneys for Complainant			
BEFORE THE			
BOARD OF ACCOUNTANCY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
In the Matter of the Accusation) No. AC-94-11 Against:			
DAVID HOWARD LEVI) ACCUSATION			
8730 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 304) Beverly Hills, California 90211)			
Certified Public Accountant)			
Certificate No. CPA 61127,			
Respondent.			
Complainant, Carol Sigmann, for cause for discipline			
alleges:			
1. She is the Executive Officer of the Board of			
Accountancy (hereinafter referred to as the board) of the			
Department of Consumer Affairs of the State of California, and			
she makes and files this accusation solely in her official			
capacity.			
2. On January 31, 1992, the board issued to David			
Howard Levi (hereinafter respondent) certified public accountant			
certificate number CPA 61127 for the practice of public			

accountancy. The certificate was at all times mentioned herein in full force and effect and will expire subject to renewal on December 1, 1996.

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 3. Business and Professions Code section 118(b) provides that the suspension or expiration of a certificate does not deprive the board of authority or jurisdiction to institute or continue with disciplinary action against the license or to order suspension or revocation of the license, during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
- Business and Professions Code section 5051 provides that the practice of public accountancy is the use of the knowledge, science and practice of accounting; the delivery for compensation of professional services that involve or require an audit, examination, verification, investigation, certification, presentation, or review, of financial transactions and accounting records; the preparation or certification of client reports on audits or examinations of books or records of account, balance sheets, and other financial, accounting and related schedules, exhibits, statements, or reports which are to be used for publication or for the purpose of obtaining credit or for filing with a court of law or with a governmental agency, or for any other purpose; the delivery of professional services that relate to accounting and the recording, presentation, or certification of financial information or data; the maintenance of client books, trial balances, statements, audits, reports, or bookkeeping; the preparation of client tax returns; the

preparation of personal financial or investment plans or the products or services of others that implement personal financial or investment plans; and the delivery of client management consulting services.

- provides that a person is engaged in the practice of public accountancy if he or she holds himself or herself out, solicits, or advertises for clients using the certified public accountant or public accountant designation, or identifies himself or herself as a certified public accountant or public accountant on things such as signs, advertisements, letterheads, business cards, publications directed to clients or potential clients, or financial or tax documents of a client.
- 6. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 5100 the board may revoke, suspend or refuse to renew the permit or certificate of a certified public accountant, or may censure the holder of a permit or certificate for unprofessional conduct.
- 7. Business and Professions Code section 5100 provides at subdivision (a) that unprofessional conduct includes conviction of a crime substantially related to the qualifications, functions and duties of a certified public accountant.
- 8. Business and Professions Code section 5106
 provides that a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction
 following a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction
 within the meaning of Article 6 of the Accountancy Act, and the

27 / /

record of the conviction shall be conclusive evidence of the conviction.

- 9. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 490, the board may suspend or revoke the certificate of a certified public accountant on the ground that the certified public accountant has been convicted of a crime, if the crime is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the profession of public accountancy.
- provides that a conviction within the meaning of the section means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere. Business and Professions Code section 7.5 provides that a conviction within the meaning of the Business and Professions Code means a plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere.
- 11. Title 16, California Code of Regulations section
 99 provides that a crime or act shall be considered to be
 substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties
 of a certified public accountant if to a substantial degree it
 evidences present or potential unfitness of a certified public
 accountant to perform the functions authorized by his certificate
 in a manner consistent with the public health, safety, or
 welfare.

Title 16, California Code of Regulations section 99 further provides that a substantially related crime or act includes inter alia fiscal dishonesty or breach of fiduciary responsibility of any kind, and dishonesty, fraud, or gross

negligence in the practice of public accountancy or in the performance of certain bookkeeping operations.

- 12. Respondent is subject to discipline by the board pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 5100(a), and 490, by reason of the following facts:
 - A. On or about May 11, 1992, in the 363rd Judicial District Court of Dallas County, Texas, in the criminal action entitled <u>The State of Texas</u> v. <u>David Howard Levi</u>, case number F91-03418-NW, respondent entered a plea of guilty to the felony crime of theft of property of the value of \$750 or more, but less than \$20,000.
 - B. The circumstances of the conviction in paragraph A above are that on or about January 7, 1988, respondent unlawfully, knowingly and intentionally appropriated a check in the amount of \$19,000 from the lawful possession of Kyle Glenn Raybon, a minor whose father was killed in an automobile accident, and for whom respondent was trustee, and used the money to replace \$20,000 misappropriate earlier from his client trust account for WST Enterprises, Inc., which he had used to pay his accounting firm's payroll taxes, with the intent to permanently deprive Kyle Glenn Raybon of the money and for so long a time that a major portion of the value of the money was lost to Kyle Glenn Raybon.
 - C. On or about May 11, 1992, in the 363rd Judicial District Court of Dallas County, Texas, in the criminal action entitled The State of Texas v. David Howard Levi,

case number F91-03419-NW, respondent entered a plea of guilty to the felony crime of theft of property of the value of \$20,000 or more.

- above are that on or about July 23, 1987, respondent unlawfully, knowingly and intentionally appropriated a check in the amount of \$7,800 from the lawful possession of Bill Miller, acting on behalf of WST Enterprises, Inc., to pay fees owed to his business; and on September 17, 1987, respondent unlawfully, knowingly and intentionally appropriated a check in the amount of \$20,000 from the lawful possession of Bill Miller and/or WST Enterprises, Inc., and used the money to pay his accounting firm's payroll taxes, with the intent to permanently deprive Bill Miller and/or WST Enterprises, Inc. of the money and for so long a time that a major portion of the value of the money was lost to Bill Miller and/or WST Enterprises, Inc.
- provides at subdivision (h) that unprofessional conduct includes fiscal dishonesty or breach of fiduciary responsibility of any kind, including, but not limited to embezzlement, theft, misappropriation of funds or property, or obtaining money, property or other valuable consideration by fraudulent means or false pretenses.
- 14. Respondent is subject to discipline by the board pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 5100 and 5100(h), by reason of the following facts:

1.3

- B. While providing accounting services to M&M Leasing Company respondent appropriated without authorization \$27,000 from the funds of the company to pay his firms accounting fees.
- C. While providing accounting services to WST Enterprises, Inc., respondent borrowed money from Providence National Bank, Dallas, Texas, on behalf of M&M Leasing, and pledged assets belonging to Sports Ridge Athletic Club (an entity owned and operated by WST Enterprises, Inc.) as collateral, and used \$20,000 of the money for his personal house payment.

COSTS

Business and Professions Code section 5107 provides that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Board, the executive officer of the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct the certificate holder found to have violated Business and Professions Code section 5100 to pay to the board a sum not to exceed the actual and reasonable costs of the investigation and prosecution of the matter, including attorneys' fees.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, complainant prays that a hearing be held and that the board make its order:

1	1. Revoking or suspending Certified Public Accountant
2	certificate number CPA 61127 issued to David Howard Levi;
3	2. Directing David Howard Levi to pay to the Board of
4	Accountancy its reasonable cost of investigation and prosecution
5	of this matter.
6	3. Taking such other and further action as may be
7	appropriate.
8	Dated and Filed: Lefrung 24, 1995
9	
10	(and I mann
11	CAROL SIGMANN Executive Officer
12	Board of Accountancy Department of Consumer Affairs
13	State of California
14	Complainant
15	
16	
17	
17 18	
18	
18	
18 19 20	
18 19 20 21	
18 19 20 21 22	
18 19 20 21 22 23	